

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

|                 |              |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
| 10/517,290      | FUJITA, KOJI |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| John Kreck      | 3673         |  |

**All Participants:**(1) John Kreck.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Andrew Im.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 September 2007**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,6,7,11


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative to discuss the proposed after final amendment. Examiner pointed out that claims 7 and 11 substantially duplicated claims 1 and 6, respectively, and suggested that claims 7 and 11 could be cancelled by examiner's amendment.